IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

TUESDAY, THE SEVENTH DAY OF DECEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE N.V. RAMANA

WRIT PETITION NO : 22478 of 2004

Between:

S. Shankariah, S/o. Brahmaiah,
R/o. H.No. 1-23, Nallagandla Village, Serilingampally Mandal,
R.R.District (A.P.)

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 Govt of A.P., rep. by the Prl. Secretary, Revenue Dept., Secretariat, Hyd.
2 District Collector, R.R.District, Lakdi-ka-pool, Hyderabad (A.P).
3 Revenue Divisional Officer, Chevalla Division, R.R. District (A.P.).
4 Mandal Revenue Officer, Serilingampally, R.R.District.

.....RESPONDENT(S)

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to Issue an order or direction or writ more appropriately a Writ of Mandamus to declare the inaction of the respondents in disposing the representation of the petitioenr for consideration of assignment of Ac.0.04 guntas of land on market value in Government land in S.No. 301 of Nallagandla Village, Srilingampally Mandal, R.R.District as illegal and further direct the Respondents herein to consider for assignment of Ac.0.04 guntas of land on market value in Government land in S.No. 301 of Nallagandla Village, fouth with and pass such other order or orders which are deem fit and just.

Counsel for the Petitioner:MR.K.RAJANNA

Counsel for the Respondent No.: GP FOR REVENUE

The Court at the stage of admission made the following :

ORDER:

The father of the petitioner claims to be owning inam lands in Survey Nos. 58/A, 176/A, 198/E, 298/E and 300/A of Nallagandla village and that his father bequeathed lands covered by survey Nos. 58/2 and Survey No.176/A to him and that he is in peaceful possession of the same. The grievance of the petitioner is that the above said lands are covered by Government lands and there is no approach road to reach the land of the petitioner. It is also stated that the petitioner has made a representation to the Mandal Revenue Officer on 1-6-2004 and that no action was taken thereon and the same remained unanswered.

Heard the learned counsel for petitioner and learned Government Pleader for Revenue. Both counsel agreed for disposal of the writ petition with a direction to the respondents to consider and dispose of the pending representation of the petitioner.

Since the representation of the petitioner dated 1-6-2004 is pending consideration at the end of respondents, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the matter, the writ petition is disposed of directing the respondents to consider the said representation and pass appropriate orders in accordance with law, expeditiously. No costs.

_____ **N.V.RAMANA,J**

07-12-2004

..... REGISTRAR

// TRUE COPY // SECTION OFFICER

To

1 The Prl. Secretary, Govt of A.P., Revenue Dept., Secretariat, Hyd.
2 District Collector, R.R.District, Lakdi-ka-pool, Hyderabad (A.P).
3 Revenue Divisional Officer, Chevalla Division, R.R. District (A.P.).
4 Mandal Revenue Officer, Serilingampally, R.R.District.

5.2CCs to Government Pleader for Revenue, High Court of A.P. buildings,

Hyderabad (OUT)

6.2CD copies

*Form-NIC-OGS/WP{**JGK**}*